- 12. I think that the proper course in respect of the three actions is to allow the Claimant to select approximately eight incidents over the period covered by his complaints. The determination of those allegations should provide ample opportunity to determine the real issue in these proceedings. I am of the view that such a course would not involve the use of documents that would make it inconvenient to have a trial by jury. If I am wrong, I consider that in all the circumstances it would be just to have such a trial. While I recognise the concern that the limitation of the allegations would still involve a real danger that the resolution of the central question would involve the introduction of more documents, I very much doubt whether there are that many relevant documents. It is much more likely that any major expansion will come from the oral evidence.
- 13. If the case goes to trial in the way that I have indicated, I think it most unlikely that, regardless of outcome, there will be another trial by a jury of causes of action that, strictly speaking, have not been disposed of by the initial trial.
- 14. Having given my ruling, I should state, as should already be clear, that I have given no ruling as to venue.
- I shall deal with all consequential matters at a further case management conference.
 Any relevant time limit is extended until then.